Entity Clarity Report

Marketplaces & Platforms

By: Mike Ye x Ella (AI)

Q1 2026 Edition

exmxc.ai

SUMMARY

Marketplaces exist to be discovered. Most are blocking or failing discovery.

This vertical has the worst clarity profile of any we've analyzed: the highest blocked rate (26%), the lowest High Capability rate (7%), and the highest Low Capability rate (57%). Platforms built on search and discovery are invisible to the new search and discovery layer.

This report applies the **Entity Clarity & Capability (ECC)** framework to 46 global marketplace and platform companies. What emerges is a sector where AI doesn't merely describe platforms — it decides whether they are necessary at all. The blocked platforms are ceding recommendation to whoever remains visible. The open-but-fragmented platforms are accelerating their own commoditization.

This sector is not about who has the most users. It's about who survives first-pass AI judgment.

METHODOLOGY

This analysis applies the **Entity Clarity & Capability (ECC)** framework to 46 global Marketplaces & Platforms companies, spanning eCommerce, travel, mobility, delivery, real estate, talent, and resale.

ECC evaluates how legible, trustworthy, and structurally interpretable an entity is to modern AI systems across three weighted tiers:

- **Entity Comprehension & Trust**: Narrative coherence, authority signals, interpretability, and trust scaffolding
- **Structural Data Fidelity**: Schema quality, canonical clarity, internal lattice consistency, entity anchoring
- **Page-Level Hygiene**: Technical consistency, crawl efficiency, inference stability, and site-level cleanliness

Each company is classified by **AI Posture**:

- **Open** – Accessible and legible to AI systems
- **Defensive** – Partially open with controlled narrative exposure
- **Blocked** – Intentionally opaque or inaccessible

Scores reflect **strategic positioning**, not moral judgment or service quality.

FINDINGS

Distributions

Posture	Count	%	Market Cap
Open	24	52%	~$3.7T
Defensive	10	22%	~$155B
Blocked	12	26%	~$280B

Capability	Count	%
High	3	7%
Medium	17	37%
Low	26	57%

- **Lowest High Capability rate of any vertical (7%)**: Most marketplaces cannot be coherently explained by AI.
- **Worst clarity profile of any vertical analyzed.**

Core Findings

1. The discovery platforms are blocking discovery.
20 of 46 companies are Blocked or have ECC of 0. This includes DoorDash ($98B), eBay ($35B), Etsy ($7B), Expedia ($22B), Carvana ($50B), Chewy ($14B), Upwork ($2B), and Fiverr ($1B). These platforms exist to be found. They have opted out of being found by AI.

2. Access without structure is worse than blocking.
Booking Holdings ($167B) is open to AI crawling with an ECC of 5. The world's largest online travel company is virtually unreadable. PDD/Temu ($167B) scores 33. MercadoLibre ($109B) scores 30. ~$650B in market cap is accessible but incomprehensible.

3. Entire categories are dark. The talent platforms (Upwork, Fiverr) and resale platforms

Segment	Avg ECC	Blocked Rate
Resale & Specialty	9.9	86%
Travel & Hospitality	24.4	40%
Talent & Services	26.0	67%
eCommerce Marketplaces	35.1	25%
Mobility & Delivery	51.0	25%
Real Estate	51.8	17%

(eBay, Etsy, StockX, RealReal) are almost entirely invisible to AI systems.

4. Infrastructure outperforms consumer-facing platforms. The companies that power

Company	Type	ECC
Global-e	Cross-border enablement	85
Just Eat Takeaway	Delivery infrastructure	86
Flexport	Logistics	69
Shopify	Merchant enablement	73

marketplaces are more legible than the marketplaces themselves.

5. Scale does not predict clarity. The highest-clarity companies are mid-market specialists with focused value propositions. The mega-caps are readable but not authoritative.

Company	Market Cap	ECC
Amazon	~$2.46T	64
Booking Holdings	~$167B	5
PDD/Temu	~$167B	33
Redfin	~$1B	81
Just Eat Takeaway	~$2B	86

LANDSCAPE

Marketplaces are uniquely exposed to AI search because they exist between buyers and sellers. AI does not merely describe them — it decides whether they are necessary at all.

The structural threat is existential:

- When someone asks ”what’s the best food delivery app?”, DoorDash ($98B, Blocked) cannot answer
- When someone asks ”where should I hire a freelancer?”, neither Upwork nor Fiverr can respond
- When someone asks ”best travel booking site?”, Booking Holdings ($167B, ECC 5) is open but incomprehensible

This creates a paradox: **platforms built on discovery are invisible to the new discovery layer.**

The numbers confirm the exposure:

- **43% of companies are Blocked or Low Capability**
- **Only 3 of 46 companies achieve High Capability** (Global-e, Just Eat Takeaway, Redfin)
- **The entire talent marketplace category is dark** (Upwork and Fiverr both blocked)
- **The entire resale category is nearly dark** (6 of 7 companies blocked)

Marketplaces are not competing with each other anymore. They are competing with AI’s decision to bypass them entirely.

ARCHETYPES

1. Open Traffic Orchestrators

”We accept AI as a traffic allocator.”

These platforms allow AI systems to interpret, summarize, and compare them openly. They trade narrative control for continued relevance in AI-mediated discovery.

Strategic intent: Remain surfaced by AI as a default option; preserve inbound demand through legibility
Strengths: Continued visibility in AI answers, easier comparison inclusion, lower risk of total disintermediation
Weaknesses: Vulnerable to commoditization; AI may reduce them to ”one of many”
Typical traits: Open posture, Medium ECC, broad but shallow narratives

Examples:
Amazon (64), Uber (61), Shopify (73), Trip.com (68), Instacart (75), Alibaba (63), Lyft (66), monday.com (78)

2. Defensive Experience Curators

”We will be seen — but not fully summarized.”

These firms permit partial AI access while tightly managing brand, experience, or pricing narratives. They treat AI as a risk surface, not a growth channel.

Strategic intent: Protect differentiation; prevent AI oversimplification of value
Strengths: Maintains brand nuance, preserves pricing power longer
Weaknesses: ECC ceiling, risk of being framed as evasive or outdated
Typical traits: Defensive posture, Medium-to-high ECC, strong brand dependence

Examples:
Just Eat Takeaway (86), Grab Holdings (75), CarGurus (75), Poshmark (69), Flexport (69), Faire (68), Zillow (66), Wayfair (50), Airbnb (49)

3. Blocked Destination Defenders

"We rely on habit, not interpretation."

These marketplaces actively restrict AI visibility. They assume users will arrive directly — or that regulation and scale will protect them.

Strategic intent: Delay AI-driven traffic erosion; maintain control over pricing and funnel economics
Strengths: Short-term insulation, reduced misrepresentation risk
Weaknesses: Near-total AI invisibility, exclusion from AI-driven recommendation loops
Typical traits: Blocked posture, ECC = 0, heavy dependence on legacy traffic sources

Examples:
DoorDash, eBay, Etsy, Expedia, Carvana, Chewy, Upwork, Fiverr, MakeMyTrip, Delivery Hero, StockX, RealReal, Revolve, Farfetch, Ozon, Trupanion, Coupang

4. Invisible Enablement Layers

"We power marketplaces — we are not one."

These firms sit behind or alongside marketplaces, enabling cross-border commerce, logistics, pricing, or compliance. They are structurally legible and often outperform consumer-facing platforms in ECC.

Strategic intent: Be trusted by AI as infrastructure; avoid consumer substitution risk
Strengths: High AI trust, hard to disintermediate, often private or lightly branded
Weaknesses: Limited consumer mindshare, lower optionality for brand expansion
Typical traits: Open posture, High ECC, clear and narrow narratives

Examples:
Global-e (85), Redfin (81), Shopify (73), Flexport (69), Faire (68), Whatnot (60)

5. Algorithmically Fragile Intermediaries

"Our value disappears when summarized."

These platforms lose strategic clarity when reduced to an AI explanation. Their business models depend on complexity, arbitrage, or fragmented supply. When AI simplifies them, their differentiation evaporates.

Strategic intent: Often unintentional — result of legacy design rather than strategy
Strengths: Niche survival, temporary relevance in fragmented markets

Weaknesses: Extremely high AI disintermediation risk, poor narrative coherence, low ECC even when open
Typical traits: Open or Defensive posture, Low ECC, thin differentiation

Examples:
Booking Holdings (5), PDD/Temu (33), MercadoLibre (30), JD.com (38), Meituan (45), Sea/Shopee (53), Vroom (28), Vipshop (16)

MARKETPLACES & PLATFORMS INDEX

Company	Market Cap	Posture	ECC	Cap.	Archetype
Amazon	~$2.46T	Open	64	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
Alibaba	~$378B	Open	63	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
Shopify	~$209B	Open	73	Medium	Invisible Enablement Layer
Uber	~$193B	Open	61	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
PDD Holdings (Temu)	~$167B	Open	33	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
Booking Holdings	~$167B	Open	5	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
MercadoLibre	~$109B	Open	30	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
DoorDash	~$98B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Sea Limited (Shopee)	~$81B	Open	53	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
Meituan	~$78B	Open	45	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
Airbnb	~$76B	Defensive	49	Low	Defensive Experience Curator
Coupang	~$50B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Carvana	~$50B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Trip.com	~$47B	Open	68	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
JD.com	~$44B	Open	38	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
eBay	~$35B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Expedia	~$22B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Grab Holdings	~$20B	Defensive	75	Medium	Defensive Experience Curator
Zillow	~$18B	Defensive	66	Medium	Defensive Experience Curator
Chewy	~$14B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
monday.com	~$14B	Open	78	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
MakeMyTrip	~$12B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Faire	~$12B	Defensive	68	Medium	Invisible Enablement Layer
Instacart	~$11B	Open	75	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
Global-e	~$8B	Open	85	High	Invisible Enablement Layer
Delivery Hero	~$8B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Flexport	~$8B	Defensive	69	Medium	Invisible Enablement Layer
Vipshop	~$8B	Defensive	16	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary
Lyft	~$8B	Open	66	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
Wayfair	~$7B	Defensive	50	Low	Defensive Experience Curator
Etsy	~$7B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Whatnot	~$5B	Open	60	Medium	Invisible Enablement Layer
Ozon	~$5B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
CarGurus	~$4B	Defensive	75	Medium	Defensive Experience Curator
StockX	~$4B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Just Eat Takeaway	~$2B	Defensive	86	High	Defensive Experience Curator
Upwork	~$2B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Opendoor	~$2B	Open	61	Medium	Open Traffic Orchestrator
Revolve	~$2B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Trupanion	~$2B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Redfin	~$1B	Open	81	High	Invisible Enablement Layer
Fiverr	~$1B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Farfetch	~$1B	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Poshmark	~$1B	Defensive	69	Medium	Defensive Experience Curator
RealReal	~$500M	Blocked	0	Low	Blocked Destination Defender
Vroom	~$100M	Open	28	Low	Algorithmically Fragile Intermediary

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

AI is becoming the **discovery layer for discovery platforms** — and ECC determines whether AI frames a marketplace as essential, optional, or obsolete.

Blocking buys time — not immunity.
DoorDash, eBay, Etsy, and Expedia have blocked AI access. This preserves short-term narrative control but excludes them from AI-mediated recommendation. As AI becomes the default research interface, blocking becomes strategic invisibility.

Openness without clarity accelerates commoditization.
Booking Holdings (ECC 5), PDD/Temu (ECC 33), and MercadoLibre (ECC 30) are open but structurally incomprehensible. AI can access them but cannot coherently explain them.

This is worse than blocking — it invites misinterpretation while offering no narrative control.

Infrastructure-adjacent players quietly gain trust.
Global-e, Shopify, Flexport, and Faire are more legible than the consumer-facing marketplaces they serve. As AI systems learn to distinguish between platforms and the infrastructure that powers them, enablement layers compound authority.

Entire categories are vulnerable to AI bypass.
When AI cannot coherently recommend any player in a category (talent marketplaces, resale platforms), it may recommend alternatives outside the category entirely — or conclude the category is unnecessary.

The asymmetry is existential:

- AI can recommend Instacart with confidence
- AI cannot recommend DoorDash at all
- AI can explain Redfin clearly
- AI cannot parse Booking Holdings

The discovery layer is being rewritten. Most marketplaces are not in the new index.

FULL REPORT

Marketplaces reveal the sharpest tension in the ECI framework: **platforms built on discovery are blocking or failing the new discovery layer.**

This is not a technical failure. It is a strategic collision.

Marketplaces exist between buyers and sellers. Their value proposition is intermediation — connecting demand with supply more efficiently than direct search. For two decades, this meant SEO, app store optimization, and paid acquisition. The marketplace that ranked highest captured the transaction.

AI changes the equation. When someone asks an AI system "what's the best way to book travel?", the AI doesn't return a ranked list of links. It returns an answer. And that answer depends on which platforms can be coherently summarized, compared, and recommended.

The data is stark:

- **26% of marketplaces are Blocked** (highest of any vertical)
- **57% have Low Capability** (highest of any vertical)
- **Only 7% achieve High Capability** (lowest of any vertical)

This creates three distinct failure modes:

Failure Mode 1: Blocking
DoorDash ($98B), eBay ($35B), Etsy ($7B), Expedia ($22B), and Carvana ($50B) have blocked AI access entirely. They assume users will arrive directly — through habit, brand, or app installation. This assumption is already eroding. AI-mediated research is becoming the default for high-consideration purchases. Travel, freelance hiring, used cars, specialty retail — these are precisely the categories where AI summarization replaces manual comparison. Blocking preserves narrative control but sacrifices discoverability. As AI market share grows, blocked platforms cede recommendation to whoever remains visible.

Failure Mode 2: Fragmentation
Booking Holdings ($167B) is open to AI crawling. Its ECC is 5. The world's largest online travel company cannot be coherently explained by AI systems. This is access without structure — and it's worse than blocking. AI can see the platform but cannot parse it. The result is misinterpretation, omission from comparisons, or relegation to generic descriptions that strip differentiation. PDD/Temu (ECC 33), MercadoLibre (ECC 30), JD.com (ECC 38), and Meituan (ECC 45) share this pattern. Massive scale, open posture, low legibility.

Failure Mode 3: Category Darkness
Some categories are almost entirely invisible:

- Talent marketplaces: Upwork (Blocked), Fiverr (Blocked)
- Resale platforms: eBay (Blocked), Etsy (Blocked), StockX (Blocked), RealReal (Blocked)
- Travel: Booking (ECC 5), Expedia (Blocked), MakeMyTrip (Blocked)

When AI cannot recommend any player in a category, it may recommend alternatives outside the category — or conclude the intermediary is unnecessary. This is the existential risk. AI doesn't just compare marketplaces. It evaluates whether marketplaces are needed at all.

The counterexamples are instructive: Global-e (ECC 85), Just Eat Takeaway (ECC 86), and Redfin (ECC 81) are the only Authority Compounders. They share common traits:

– Focused value propositions

– Clear documentation

– Narrow but deep narratives

– Infrastructure-adjacent positioning

These are not the largest platforms. They are the most legible.

What this means for the market: Marketplaces are not competing with each other anymore. They are competing with AI's decision to bypass them entirely.

– Blocking buys time — not immunity

– Openness without clarity accelerates commoditization

– Infrastructure-adjacent players quietly gain trust

ECC determines whether AI frames a marketplace as essential, optional, or obsolete.

The discovery layer is being rewritten. The platforms that survive are the ones that can be discovered.

DISCLAIMER

INFORMATIONAL USE ONLY

This report is provided for informational and strategic discussion purposes only. It does not constitute legal, financial, investment, or professional advice.

NO ENDORSEMENT OR JUDGMENT

Inclusion in this report, or any associated score or classification, does not imply endorsement, criticism, or evaluation of editorial quality, journalistic integrity, or business performance. All scores represent observed machine-facing characteristics at a specific point in time.

DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENT

AI systems, search behavior, crawling practices, and platform policies evolve continuously. As a result, Entity Clarity scores and archetype classifications may change over time. No representation is made that current positioning will persist or that future AI visibility can be guaranteed.

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

exmxc.ai assumes no responsibility or liability for decisions made based on this report or its findings. This analysis reflects observed patterns in the AI-mediated information ecosystem and should be interpreted as directional, not deterministic.